COSMOCORE

HPLC Purification of mRNA with Reverse Phase and Size Exclusion Chromatography

<u>Alex Levine</u>, Ph.D. Nacalai USA, San Diego, CA Toshi Ono, Nacalai USA, Inc. San Diego, CA Tsunehisa Hirose, Nacalai Tesque, Inc., Kyoto Japan

Abstract: RNA based therapeutics represent a powerful new tool for gene therapy. In RNA production, impurities such as nucleotide triphosphates, abortive transcripts, and DNA template can affect down-stream applications by triggering immune responses. Gel-based methods of purification, such as preparative denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and agarose gels, are limited by poor resolution of RNA size and potential chemical modifications from reagents such as formaldehyde. To date, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) remains a staple method for purification of messenger RNA (mRNA) and RNA oligonucleotides. Presented here are two HPLC methods for the purification of RNA, comparing reverse-phase and size exclusion chromatography.

Reverse Phase Methods

COSMOSIL Application Data

Size Exclusion Methods

COSMOSIL Application Data



Figure 1: Analysis of 1450 nucleotide (nt) RNA by reverse phase chromatography. The main RNA peak at 8.5 minutes was preceded by an impurity at 7.8 minutes. This impurity results from the incomplete modification of the RNA with a poly-A end-cap. The use of a column with non-porous (NP) particles and Cholesterol as the stationary phase resulted in good separation of the known impurity.

COSMOCORE Application Data



Figure 3: Analysis of mRNA with size exclusion chromatography (SEC). mRNA ranging in size from 1100-1950 nt were purified with a column containing particles with 1000Å pore size. Good peak shape was obtained using a mobile 100mM phase of triethylammonium acetate (TEAA) and acetonitrile **(A)**. Higher molecular weight impurities, potentially template DNA were seen before the main peak at 21 minutes, and several smaller mRNA species were seen between 22-25 minutes (B). The 3 different sized mRNA tested showed good resolution of 2.03 and 2.36. (C). A simulated chromatogram of purified mRNA by HPLC compared to unpurified samples (**D**).



Figure 2: Denaturing HPLC analysis of siRNA with octadecyl (C18) and Cholesterol-based HPLC columns. The siRNA was modified to

Minutes



Min

Nacalai USA

Innovations for Life Sciences

1100 nt

contain unlocked nucleic acids (UNA), 2'-methoxy, and phosphorothioate bonds. On both columns, the individual strands appear around 12.25 and 12.5 minutes. Interestingly, the C18 column showed only 2 peaks, one for each strand, while the Cholester column exhibited 4 distinct peaks. It may be that the incomplete modification of the siRNA is able to be better detected on a Cholesterol-based stationary phase.



Conclusions: HPLC remains a powerful technique in the purification of RNA impurities. Reverse-phase chromatography was useful in the purification of species with different chemistries, such as incomplete chemical modification. Size exclusion methods were adept at separating degraded or aborted RNA. When comparing size, resolutions greater than 2.0 were seen for RNA species with differences of 300-400 nt.

Contact: alex@nacalaiusa.com, (858)-404-0403, www.nacalaiusa.com